

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/000,008	PLOUG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Emmanuel Sayoc	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746

**All Participants:**

(1) Emmanuel Sayoc.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 June 2004

**Time:** 2 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

35 U.S.C. 103a and 102b

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Masuda U.S. 5,086,689

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to the outlined examiner's amendment in order to further distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)